FILED CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT MAY 1 0 2022 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA Case No. 2:20-cv-10409-MCS-JEM SEAL4SAFTI, INC., Plaintiff, **VERDICT FORM** (REDACTED) v. CALIFORNIA EXPANDED MENTAL PRODUCTS CO., Defendant.

JURY VERDICT FORM

In answering the following questions and completing this Verdict Form, you are to follow all of the instructions I have given you in the Court's Jury Instructions. Your answers to each question must be unanimous. Some of the questions contain legal terms that are defined and explained in detail in the Jury Instructions. You should refer to and consider the Jury Instructions as you answer the questions in this Verdict From.

As used herein, the following terms have the following meanings: "'365 Patent" refers to U.S. Patent No. 7,681,365. "'526 Patent" refers to U.S. Patent No. 7,814,718. "314 Patent" refers to U.S. Patent No. 8,136,314. "'718 Patent" refers to U.S. Patent No. 8,151,526. "'389 Patent" refers to U.S. Patent No. 10,406,389. "Asserted Patents" refers collectively to the '365, '526, '314, '718, and '389 Patents. • "S4S" refers to the Plaintiff, Seal4Safti, Inc. "CEMCO" refers to the Defendant, California Expanded Metal Products Company.

I. VERDICT QUESTIONS FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Question No. 1

Has CEMCO proven by a preponderance of the evidence that S4S induced infringement of any of the following claims of the following Patents? (Please check Yes or No.)

Patent Claim	Yes	<u>No</u>
U.S. Patent No. 7,681,365	V - C	
Claim 1	Yes	
U.S. Patent No. 7,814,718	V	
Claim 1	Yes	
U.S. Patent No. 7,814,718	yes	
Claim 12	165	
U.S. Patent No. 8,151,526	\/ A	
Claim 1	Ye5	
U.S. Patent No. 8,136,314	./ .	
Claim 1	Ye3	
U.S. Patent No. 8,136,314	Yes Yes Yes	
Claim 6	165	
U.S. Patent No. 10,406,389		
Claim 1	Yes	
U.S. Patent No. 10,406,389	Yes	
Claim 7	Yes	
U.S. Patent No. 10,406,389	Va-	
Claim 12	165	

Please proceed to the next question.

Question No. 2

If you marked "Yes" for any of the claims in Question No. 1, do you find that S4S's inducement was willful? (Please check Yes or No.)

Patent Claim	Yes	<u>No</u>
U.S. Patent No. 7,681,365	V	
Claim 1	YES	
U.S. Patent No. 7,814,718		
Claim 1	YES	
U.S. Patent No. 7,814,718	V.c.	
Claim 12	YES	
U.S. Patent No. 8,151,526	N/ -	
Claim 1	YES	
U.S. Patent No. 8,136,314	V/C a	
Claim 1	YES	
U.S. Patent No. 8,136,314		
Claim 6	YES	
U.S. Patent No. 10,406,389		
Claim 1	YES	
U.S. Patent No. 10,406,389	V	
Claim 7	YES	
U.S. Patent No. 10,406,389	YES	
Claim 12	160	

Please proceed to the next question.

II. **VERDICT FORM FOR DAMAGES GENERALLY** If you found any of the Asserted Patents infringed, please proceed to answer the following question. **Question No. 3** What reasonable royalty did CEMCO show it more likely than not entitled to if S4S licensed the Asserted Patents in a in a hypothetical negotiation? An on-going royalty payment of 12% of 1,300,000% in total sales. (1.3 million) Please proceed to the next question.

III. VERDICT QUESTIONS FOR PATENT VALIDITY

We answer the questions submitted to us as follows:

A. <u>OBVIOUSNESS</u>

Question No. 4

Has S4S proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following patent claims were obvious based on any prior art? (Please check Yes or No.)

Patent Claim	Yes	<u>No</u>
U.S. Patent No. 8,136,314		
Claim 1		No
U.S. Patent No. 8,136,314		
Claim 6		No
U.S. Patent No. 7,814,718		
Claim 12		No
U.S. Patent No. 7,681,365		
Claim 1		No
U.S. Patent No. 7,814,718		
Claim 1		No
U.S. Patent No. 8,151,526		
Claim 1		No

Please proceed to the next question.

B. <u>ENABLEMENT</u>

Question No. 5

Has S4S proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following patent claims were not enabled for lack of written description? (Please check Yes or No.)

Patent Claim	Yes	<u>No</u>
U.S. Patent No. 10,406,389 Claim 1		No
U.S. Patent No. 10,406,389 Claim 7		No
U.S. Patent No. 10,406,389 Claim 12		No

Please proceed to the next page.

You have now reached the end of the verdict form and should review it to ensure it accurately reflects your unanimous determinations. The Presiding Juror should then sign and date the verdict form in the spaces below and notify the Courtroom Deputy that you have reached a verdict. The Presiding Juror should retain possession of the verdict form and bring it when the jury is brought back into the courtroom. Date: 5/10/22 By: Presiding Junor